

REFERENCES

- [1] Anggraini, D. P., & Chusairi, A. (2022). Pengaruh academic self-efficacy dan student engagement terhadap academic burnout mahasiswa dalam pembelajaran daring. *Journal of Community Mental Health and Public Policy*, 4(2), 79–94. <https://doi.org/10.51602/cmhp.v4i2.70>
- [2] Lim, J., & Richardson, J. C. (2022). Considering how disciplinary differences matter for successful online learning through the Community of Inquiry lens. *Computers & Education*, 187, 104551. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104551>
- [3] Kristiana, I., Prihatsanti, U., Simanjuntak, E., & Widayanti, C. (2023). Online student engagement: The overview of HE in Indonesia. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 24(3), 34–53. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v24i3.7125>
- [4] Taufik, H., & Zulkarnaen, M. (2025). The Impact of Virtual Islamic Learning Spaces on Student Engagement and Academic Performance in Indonesia. *The Eastasouth Journal of Learning and Educations*, 3(02), 123–129. <https://doi.org/10.58812/esle.v3i02.669>
- [5] Akyol, Z., Vaughan, N., & Garrison, D. R. (2009). The impact of course duration on the development of a community of inquiry. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 19(3), 231–246. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820902809147>
- [6] Garrison, D. R., & Arbaugh, J. B. (2007). Researching the community of inquiry framework: Review, issues, and future directions. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 10(3), 157–172. doi:10.1016/j.iheduc.2007.04.001
- [7] Martha, A. S. D., & Santoso, H. (2019). The Design and Impact of the Pedagogical Agent: A Systematic Literature Review. *The Journal of Educators Online*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.9743/jeo.2019.16.1.8>
- [8] Schroeder, N. L., Davis, R. O., & Yang, E. (2024). Designing and Learning With Pedagogical Agents: An Umbrella Review. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 62(8), 2127–2156. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07356331241288476>
- [9] Tang, X., Jiang, L., Liu, G., & Li, H. (2025). The interaction effect of pedagogical agent and emotional feedback on effective learning: A 2 × 2 factorial experiment in online formative assessment. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16, 1610550. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1610550>
- [10] Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2000). Critical inquiry in a text-based environment: Computer conferencing in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 2(2), 87–105. doi:10.1016/S1096-7516(00)00016-6
- [11] Fiock, H. (2020). Designing a community of inquiry in online courses. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 21(1), 134–152. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v20i1.3985>
- [12] Decker, D., & Merkt, M. (2025). Look at me! Can a pedagogical agent facilitate orientation and support learning in VR? *Educational Technology Research and Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-025-10531-9>

- [13] Firdaus, Mohd, Noor,Ahmad. “Pencapaian Tujuan Pendidikan Berbasis Hasil (OBE) dan Strategi Pembelajaran Mandiri Pendidikan Islam: Studi Pilot”, *Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan, Vol.IX, No.1*, (2021), 53
- [14] Biggs, J. B., & Tang, C. (2011). *Teaching for quality learning at university: What the student does* (4th ed.). Maidenhead: McGraw-Hill/Society for Research into Higher Education/Open University Press.
- [15] Syeed, M. M. M., Shihavuddin, A. S. M., Uddin, M. F., Hasan, M., & Khan, R. H. (2022). Outcome Based Education (OBE): Defining the Process and Practice for Engineering Education. *IEEE Access*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3219477>
- [16] Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi, Riset, dan Teknologi. (2024). Buku panduan Kurikulum Program Terintegrasi (KPT) – Direktorat Pembelajaran dan Kemahasiswaan. <https://lldikti3.kemdikbud.go.id/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/Buku-Panduan-KPT-2024-Direktorat-Pembelajaran-dan-Kemahasiswaan.pdf>
- [17] Vicki Trowler, *Student Engagement Literature Review*, New York: The Higher Education Academy, 2010
- [18] Reeve, J. &. (2012). A determination theory perspective on student engagement. *Handbook of research on student engagement*, 149-172.
- [19] Zhang, R. (2020). Exploring blended learning experiences through the community of inquiry framework. *Language Learning & Technology*, 24(1), 38–53. <https://doi.org/10.125/44707>
- [20] Fredricks, J. A., & McColskey, W. (2012). The measurement of student engagement: A comparative analysis of various methods and student self-report instruments. In *Handbook of research on student engagement* (pp. 763-782). Springer, Boston, MA., 764.
- [21] Lee. 2014. Species Diversity, Biomass, and Carbon Stock Assesments of A Natural Mangrove Forest in Pahlawan. Philippines. *Pak J. Bot* 46 : 1955-1962.
- [22] Fredricks, J.A., Blumenfeld, P.C., & Paris, A.H. (2004). School engagement: Potential of the concept, state of the evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, 74(1), 59-109
- [23] LaNasa, S. M., Cabrera, A. F., & Trangsrud, H. (2009). The construct validity of student engagement: A confirmatory factor analysis approach. *Research in Higher Education*, 50(4), 315–332. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-009-9123-1>
- [24] Martinez, J. M., & Barnhill, C. R. (2017). Enhancing the student experience in online sport management programs: A review of the community of inquiry framework. *Sport Management Education Journal*, 11(1), 24–33. doi:10.1123/smej.2016-0009
- [25] Joksimović, S., Gašević, D., Kovanović, V., Riecke, B. E., & Hatala, M. (2015). Social presence in online discussions as a process predictor of academic performance. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 31(6), 638–654. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12107> [27]
- [26] Akcaoglu, M., & Lee, E. (2016). Increasing social presence in online learning through small group discussions. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 17(3), 44–64. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v17i3.2293>

- [27] Purwarno, P. (2023, September). Social presence, constructs of sociability and social space in computer-mediated online learning. In *Proceedings of the International Conference of Research on Language Education* (pp. XX–XX). <https://doi.org/10.15405/epes.23097.16>
- [28] Lin, L., Ginns, P., Wang, T., & Zhang, P. (2020). Using a pedagogical agent to deliver conversational style instruction: What benefits can you obtain? *Computers & Education*, 143, 103658. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103658>
- [29] Fauzi, A., Herpratiwi, H., & Riswandi, R. (2022). Penerapan strategi scaffolding pada pembelajaran fiqih di era pasca pandemi Covid-19. *Jurnal Sustainable*, 5(1), 68–75. <https://doi.org/10.32923/kjmp.v5i1.2425>
- [30] iSpring Solutions, iSpring Suite 11 [Software], iSpring Solutions, Inc., 2022. [Online]. Available <https://www.ispringsolutions.com/ispring-suite>
- [31] Heidig, S., & Clarebout, G. (2011). Do pedagogical agents make a difference to student motivation and learning? *Educational Research Review*, 6(1), 27–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2010.07.004>
- [32] Marici, M., Runcan, R., Iosim, I., & Haisan, A. (2023). The effect of attire attractiveness on students' perception of their teachers. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, Article 1059631. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1059631>
- [33] Clayson, D. E. (2019). Student perception of instructors: The effect of age, gender and political leaning. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 45(4), 607–616. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2019.1679715>
- [34] Fiock, H., Maeda, Y., & Richardson, J. C. (2021). Instructor impact on differences in teaching presence scores in online courses. *The International*
- [35] Liew, Tze Wei & Tan, Su-Mae & Jayothisa, Chandrika. (2013). The Effects of Peer-Like and Expert-Like Pedagogical Agents on Learners' Agent Perceptions, Task-Related Attitudes, and Learning Achievement. *Educational Technology and Society*, 16, 275-286
- [36] Baylor, A. L., & Ryu, J. (2003). The Effects of Image and Animation in Enhancing Pedagogical Agent Persona. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 28(4), 373–394. <https://doi.org/10.2190/v0wq-nwgn-jb54-fat4>
- [37] Carlotto, T., & Jaques, P. A. (2016). The effects of animated pedagogical agents in an English-as-a-foreign-language learning environment. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 95, 15–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2016.06.001>
- [38] Chin, D. B., Dohmen, I. M., Cheng, B. H., Oppezzo, M. A., Chase, C. C., & Schwartz, D. L. (2010). Preparing students for future learning with Teachable Agents. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 58(6), 649–669. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-010-9154-5>
- [39] Wigati, F. A., Lengkanawati, N. S., Musthafa, B., & Suherdi, D. (2022). Interference and the pandemic: Students' problems in learning English online in an EFL country. *Jurnal Pendidikan Progresif*, 12(3)
- [40] Lin, L., Ginns, P., Wang, T., & Zhang, P. (2020). Using a pedagogical agent to deliver conversational style instruction: What benefits can you obtain? *Computers & Education*, 143, 103658. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103658>

- [40] Schroeder, N. L., Adesope, O. O., and Gilbert, R. B. 2013. How effective are pedagogical agent for learning? A meta analytic review. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 49 (1), (July 2013), 1-39
- [41] Ang, J. W. J., Ng, Y. N., Lee, L. H.-W., & Yong, J. Y. (2024). Exploring students' learning experience and engagement in asynchronous learning using the Community of Inquiry framework through educational design research. *Education Sciences*, 14(3), 215.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14030215>