

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1] S. Zaza, I. Junglas, and D. J. Armstrong, “Who needs the help desk? Tackling one’s own technological problem via self IT service,” *Information and Organization*, vol. 31, no. 3, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.infoandorg.2021.100367.
- [2] F. Al-Hawari and H. Barham, “A machine learning based help desk system for IT service management,” *Journal of King Saud University - Computer and Information Sciences*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 702–718, Jul. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jksuci.2019.04.001.
- [3] J. Wiratama, F. Adline, and T. Tobing, “Analysis and Design of an Web-Based Ticketing Service Helpdesk at Food and Packaging Machinery Company,” *Ultima Infosys : Jurnal Ilmu Sistem Informasi*, vol. 13, no. 1, 2022.
- [4] W., E. K. Budiardjo, and E. M. Zamzami, “Feature Modeling and Variability Modeling Syntactic Notation Comparison and Mapping,” *Journal of Computer and Communications*, vol. 02, no. 02, pp. 101–108, 2014, doi: 10.4236/jcc.2014.22018.
- [5] D. Benavides, S. Segura, and A. Ruiz-Cortés, “Automated analysis of feature models 20 years later: A literature review,” *Inf Syst*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 615–636, Sep. 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.is.2010.01.001.
- [6] *Proceedings of the Sixth International Workshop on Variability Modeling of Software-Intensive Systems*. ACM Digital Library, 2013.
- [7] F. Roos-Frantz, D. Benavides, A. Ruiz-Cortés, A. Heuer, and K. Lauenroth, “Quality-aware analysis in product line engineering with the orthogonal variability model,” *Software Quality Journal*, vol. 20, no. 3–4, pp. 519–565, 2012, doi: 10.1007/s11219-011-9156-5.
- [8] N. Made and W. Premaiswari, “The Effectiveness of the SP4N-LAPOR! as a National Public Service Complaint Management Application,” vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 36–51, 2024, [Online]. Available: <https://journal.ugm.ac.id/jkap>
- [9] U. Afzal *et al.*, “Feature Selection Optimization in Software Product Lines,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 160231–160250, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3020795.
- [10] P. Jamshidi and C. Pahl, “Orthogonal Variability Modeling to Support Multi-Cloud Application Configuration.”
- [11] H. Agh, A. Azamnouri, and S. Wagner, “Software product line testing: a systematic literature review,” *Empir Softw Eng*, vol. 29, no. 6, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s10664-024-10516-x.

- [12] R. T. Gerald, S. Reinehr, and A. Malucelli, “Software product line applied to the internet of things: A systematic literature review,” Aug. 01, 2020, *Elsevier B.V.* doi: 10.1016/j.infsof.2020.106293.
- [13] J. M. Horcas, J. A. Galindo, and D. Benavides, “Variability in Data Visualization: a Software Product Line Approach,” in *26th ACM International Systems and Software Product Line Conference, SPLC 2022 - Proceedings*, Association for Computing Machinery, Inc, Sep. 2022, pp. 55–66. doi: 10.1145/3546932.3546993.
- [14] D. Romero-Organvidez, J.-M. Horcas, J. A. Galindo, and D. Benavides, “Data visualization guidance using a software product line approach ☆,” *J Syst Softw*, vol. 213, pp. 164–1212, 2024, doi: 10.5072/ze.
- [15] J. M. Horcas, M. Pinto, and L. Fuentes, “A modular metamodel and refactoring rules to achieve software product line interoperability,” *Journal of Systems and Software*, vol. 197, Mar. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2022.111579.
- [16] D. Calegari, A. Delgado, and L. Peña, “Model-driven support for business process families with the Common Variability Language (CVL),” 2020.
- [17] J. M. Horcas, A. Cortiñas, L. Fuentes, and M. R. Luaces, “Integrating the common variability language with multilanguage annotations for web engineering,” in *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, Association for Computing Machinery, Aug. 2018, pp. 196–207. doi: 10.1145/3233027.3233049.
- [18] C. Quinton, D. Romero, L. Duchien, and L. Duchien Cardinality, “Cardinality-Based Feature Models With Constraints: A Pragmatic Approach,” 2013. [Online]. Available: <https://app.jelastic.com/>
- [19] R. Müller, M. Weiß, and M. Lochau, “Mapping Cardinality-based Feature Models to Weighted Automata over Featured Multiset Semirings,” in *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, Association for Computing Machinery, Sep. 2024, pp. 1–11. doi: 10.1145/3646548.3676539.
- [20] M. Aziz Al-asaad, N. Selviandro, and E. Darwiyanto, *2022 1st International Conference on Software Engineering and Information Technology (ICoSEIT)*. IEEE, 2022.
- [21] S. Pokharel and H. Reza, “Toward the Design and Implementation of Traceability Engineering Tool Support,” *Journal of Software Engineering and Applications*, vol. 12, no. 06, pp. 249–265, 2019, doi: 10.4236/jsea.2019.126015.
- [22] A. Bouzidi, N. Haddar, and K. Haddar, “Traceability and synchronization between BPMN and UML use case models,” *Ingenierie des Systemes*

- d'Information*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 215–228, 2019, doi: 10.18280/isi.240214.
- [23] G. K. Narwane, J. A. Galindo, S. N. Krishna, D. Benavides, J. V. Millo, and S. Ramesh, “Traceability analyses between features and assets in software product lines,” *Entropy*, vol. 18, no. 8, 2016, doi: 10.3390/e18080269.
 - [24] I. Schaefer *et al.*, “Software diversity: State of the art and perspectives,” *International Journal on Software Tools for Technology Transfer*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 477–495, Oct. 2012, doi: 10.1007/s10009-012-0253-y.