

## LIST OF FIGURES

|      |                                                                         |    |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1.1  | Flowchart of research methodology. . . . .                              | 5  |
| 2.1  | Traditional Switch vs P4 Switch. . . . .                                | 7  |
| 2.2  | P4 Forwarding Model . . . . .                                           | 8  |
| 2.3  | MPLS tunnel . . . . .                                                   | 9  |
| 2.4  | MPLS Fast Rerouting One-to-one backup . . . . .                         | 10 |
| 2.5  | MPLS Fast Rerouting Facility backup . . . . .                           | 11 |
| 2.6  | InFaRR fast rerouting mechanism . . . . .                               | 11 |
| 2.7  | InFaRR fast rerouting mechanism . . . . .                               | 11 |
| 2.8  | Blink fast rerouting mechanism . . . . .                                | 12 |
| 3.1  | P4 switch . . . . .                                                     | 14 |
| 3.2  | The proposed method . . . . .                                           | 15 |
| 3.3  | The flowchart of Data Plane program . . . . .                           | 16 |
| 3.4  | The flowchart of Rerouting Action . . . . .                             | 18 |
| 3.5  | The flowchart of Probing Packet Function . . . . .                      | 19 |
| 3.6  | The flowchart of Route Discovery Function . . . . .                     | 20 |
| 3.7  | The flowchart of Cost Calculation Function . . . . .                    | 21 |
| 3.8  | The flowchart of Route Update Function . . . . .                        | 22 |
| 3.9  | Action Table P4 . . . . .                                               | 24 |
| 3.10 | Action Table P4AFR . . . . .                                            | 24 |
| 3.11 | Topology for the first scenario . . . . .                               | 25 |
| 3.12 | Topology for the second scenario . . . . .                              | 26 |
| 3.13 | Topology for the third scenario . . . . .                               | 27 |
| 4.1  | Implementation of Scenario 1 . . . . .                                  | 29 |
| 4.2  | Result Recovery Time of the First Scenario . . . . .                    | 30 |
| 4.3  | CPU Usage of the First Scenario . . . . .                               | 31 |
| 4.4  | Implementation of Scenario 2 . . . . .                                  | 32 |
| 4.5  | Result Packet Out for InFaRR and P4AFR of the Second Scenario . . . . . | 32 |
| 4.6  | Update Route of the Second Scenario . . . . .                           | 33 |
| 4.7  | Throughput of the Second Scenario . . . . .                             | 34 |
| 4.8  | RTT of the Second Scenario . . . . .                                    | 34 |
| 4.9  | Packet Loss under network failure conditions . . . . .                  | 34 |

|                                                                   |    |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 4.10 Packet Processing Time Comparison between P4AFR and InFaRR . | 35 |
| 4.11 Implementation of Scenario 3 . . . . .                       | 36 |
| 4.12 Result Routing Overhead of the Third Scenario . . . . .      | 36 |
| 4.13 Wireshark of MPLS OSPF and P4AFR . . . . .                   | 37 |
| 4.14 Result RTT and Throughput of the Third Scenario . . . . .    | 37 |