

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1] Simon *et al.*, "Pass Rates in Introductory Programming and in other STEM Disciplines," in *Proceedings of the Working Group Reports on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education*, Aberdeen Scotland Uk: ACM, Dec. 2019, pp. 53–71. doi: 10.1145/3344429.3372502.
- [2] E. Lahtinen, K. Ala-Mutka, and H.-M. Järvinen, "A study of the difficulties of novice programmers," *SIGCSE Bull.*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 14–18, Jun. 2005, doi: 10.1145/1151954.1067453.
- [3] A. Luxton-Reilly *et al.*, "Introductory programming: a systematic literature review," in *Proceedings Companion of the 23rd Annual ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education*, in ITiCSE 2018 Companion. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Jul. 2018, pp. 55–106. doi: 10.1145/3293881.3295779.
- [4] S. H. Edwards, K. P. Murali, and A. M. Kazerouni, "The Relationship Between Voluntary Practice of Short Programming Exercises and Exam Performance," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Global Computing Education*, in CompEd '19. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, May 2019, pp. 113–119. doi: 10.1145/3300115.3309525.
- [5] J. Edwards, J. Ditton, D. Trninic, H. Swanson, S. Sullivan, and C. Mano, "Syntax Exercises in CS1," in *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM Conference on International Computing Education Research*, in ICER '20. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Aug. 2020, pp. 216–226. doi: 10.1145/3372782.3406259.
- [6] R. Lobb and J. Harlow, "Coderunner: a tool for assessing computer programming skills," *ACM Inroads*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 47–51, Feb. 2016, doi: 10.1145/2810041.
- [7] P. Pringuet, A. Friel, and P. Vande Wiele, "CodeRunner: A Case Study of the Transition to Online Learning of a Java Programming Course," Jun. 26, 2021, *Social Science Research Network, Rochester, NY*: 3874475. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3874475.
- [8] J. Perišić, M. Milovanović, and Z. Kazi, "A semantic approach to enhance moodle with personalization," *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 884–901, 2018, doi: 10.1002/cae.21929.
- [9] V. Caputi and A. Garrido, "Student-oriented planning of e-learning contents for Moodle," *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, vol. 53, pp. 115–127, Jul. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.jnca.2015.04.001.
- [10] Y.-C. Chang, J.-W. Li, and D.-Y. Huang, "A Personalized Learning Service Compatible with Moodle E-Learning Management System," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 7, Art. no. 7, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.3390/app12073562.
- [11] C. Limongelli, F. Sciarrone, and G. Vaste, "Personalized e-learning in Moodle: the Moodle_LS System," *Journal of e-Learning and Knowledge Society*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 49–58, Jan. 2011.

- [12] F. D. Pereira *et al.*, "A Recommender System Based on Effort: Towards Minimising Negative Affects and Maximising Achievement in CS1 Learning," in *Intelligent Tutoring Systems*, A. I. Cristea and C. Troussas, Eds., Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2021, pp. 466–480. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-80421-3_51.
- [13] A. Prisco, R. dos Santos, Á. Nolibos, S. Botelho, N. Tonin, and J. Bez, "Evaluating a programming problem recommendation model - a classroom personalization experiment," in *2020 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE)*, Oct. 2020, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/FIE44824.2020.9274028.
- [14] R. Yera and L. Martínez, "A recommendation approach for programming online judges supported by data preprocessing techniques," *Appl Intell*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 277–290, Sep. 2017, doi: 10.1007/s10489-016-0892-x.
- [15] R. Hosseini and P. Brusilovsky, "A study of concept-based similarity approaches for recommending program examples," *New Review of Hypermedia and Multimedia*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 161–188, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.1080/13614568.2017.1356878.
- [16] J. Cardenas-Cobo, A. Puris, P. Novoa-Hernandez, J. A. Galindo, and D. Benavides, "Recommender Systems and Scratch: An Integrated Approach for Enhancing Computer Programming Learning," *IEEE Trans. Learning Technol.*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 387–403, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.1109/TLT.2019.2901457.
- [17] R. Yera Toledo, Y. Caballero Mota, and L. Martínez, "A Recommender System for Programming Online Judges Using Fuzzy Information Modeling," *Informatics*, vol. 5, no. 2, Art. no. 2, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.3390/informatics5020017.
- [18] R. Y. Toledo and Y. C. Mota, "An e-Learning Collaborative Filtering Approach to Suggest Problems to Solve in Programming Online Judges," *IJDET*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 51–65, Apr. 2014, doi: 10.4018/ijdet.2014040103.
- [19] G. Audrito *et al.*, "Recommending Tasks in Online Judges," in *Methodologies and Intelligent Systems for Technology Enhanced Learning, 9th International Conference*, R. Gennari, P. Vittorini, F. De la Prieta, T. Di Mascio, M. Temperini, R. Azambuja Silveira, and D. A. Ovalle Carranza, Eds., Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2020, pp. 129–136. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-23990-9_16.
- [20] K. Chrysafiadi, M. Virvou, and E. Sakkopoulos, "Optimizing Programming Language Learning Through Student Modeling in an Adaptive Web-Based Educational Environment," in *Machine Learning Paradigms: Advances in Learning Analytics*, M. Virvou, E. Alepis, G. A. Tsihrintzis, and L. C. Jain, Eds., Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2020, pp. 205–223. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-13743-4_11.
- [21] J. Buder and C. Schwind, "Learning with personalized recommender systems: A psychological view," *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 207–216, Jan. 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2011.09.002.
- [22] M. Jazayeri, "Combining Mastery Learning with Project-Based Learning in a First Programming Course: An Experience Report," in *2015 IEEE/ACM 37th*

- IEEE International Conference on Software Engineering*, Florence, Italy: IEEE, May 2015, pp. 315–318. doi: 10.1109/ICSE.2015.163.
- [23] J. Tirtawangsa, “Rencana Pembelajaran Semester (RPS) Algoritma Pemrograman.” Feb. 17, 2022.
 - [24] M. Hertz, “What do ‘CS1’ and ‘CS2’ mean?: investigating differences in the early courses,” in *Proceedings of the 41st ACM technical symposium on Computer science education*, Milwaukee Wisconsin USA: ACM, Mar. 2010, pp. 199–203. doi: 10.1145/1734263.1734335.
 - [25] J. Bennedsen and M. E. Caspersen, “Failure rates in introductory programming,” *SIGCSE Bull.*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 32–36, Jun. 2007, doi: 10.1145/1272848.1272879.
 - [26] C. Watson and F. W. B. Li, “Failure rates in introductory programming revisited,” in *Proceedings of the 2014 conference on Innovation & technology in computer science education*, in ITiCSE ’14. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Jun. 2014, pp. 39–44. doi: 10.1145/2591708.2591749.
 - [27] T. Crow, A. Luxton-Reilly, and B. Wuensche, “Intelligent tutoring systems for programming education: a systematic review,” in *Proceedings of the 20th Australasian Computing Education Conference*, in ACE ’18. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Jan. 2018, pp. 53–62. doi: 10.1145/3160489.3160492.
 - [28] H. S. Nwana, “Intelligent tutoring systems: an overview,” *Artif Intell Rev*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 251–277, Dec. 1990, doi: 10.1007/BF00168958.
 - [29] M. R. N. Gari, G. S. Walia, and A. D. Radermacher, “Gamification in Computer Science Education: a Systematic Literature Review,” presented at the 2018 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition, Jun. 2018. Accessed: Dec. 08, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://peer.asee.org/gamification-in-computer-science-education-a-systematic-literature-review>
 - [30] R. Singh, S. Gulwani, and A. Solar-Lezama, “Automated feedback generation for introductory programming assignments,” in *Proceedings of the 34th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation*, in PLDI ’13. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Jun. 2013, pp. 15–26. doi: 10.1145/2491956.2462195.
 - [31] F. Ricci, L. Rokach, and B. Shapira, “Introduction to Recommender Systems Handbook,” in *Recommender Systems Handbook*, F. Ricci, L. Rokach, B. Shapira, and P. B. Kantor, Eds., Boston, MA: Springer US, 2011, pp. 1–35. doi: 10.1007/978-0-387-85820-3_1.
 - [32] J. Bobadilla, F. Ortega, A. Hernando, and A. Gutiérrez, “Recommender systems survey,” *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 46, pp. 109–132, Jul. 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.knosys.2013.03.012.
 - [33] R. Pelánek, “Managing items and knowledge components: domain modeling in practice,” *Education Tech Research Dev*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 529–550, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s11423-019-09716-w.
 - [34] G. Adomavicius and A. Tuzhilin, “Toward the next generation of recommender systems: a survey of the state-of-the-art and possible

- extensions,” *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 734–749, Jun. 2005, doi: 10.1109/TKDE.2005.99.
- [35] Z. Wu, M. Li, Y. Tang, and Q. Liang, “Exercise recommendation based on knowledge concept prediction,” *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 210, p. 106481, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.knosys.2020.106481.
- [36] D. Jannach, Ed., *Recommender systems: an introduction*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [37] C. Grosan and A. Abraham, “Rule-Based Expert Systems,” in *Intelligent Systems*, vol. 17, in Intelligent Systems Reference Library, vol. 17. , Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 149–185. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-21004-4_7.
- [38] P. Pattaraintakorn, G. M. Zaverucha, and N. Cercone, “Web Based Health Recommender System Using Rough Sets, Survival Analysis and Rule-Based Expert Systems,” in *Rough Sets, Fuzzy Sets, Data Mining and Granular Computing*, vol. 4482, A. An, J. Stefanowski, S. Ramanna, C. J. Butz, W. Pedrycz, and G. Wang, Eds., in Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4482. , Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2007, pp. 491–499. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-72530-5_59.
- [39] *Design Recommendations for Intelligent Tutoring Systems: Volume 4 - Domain Modeling*. Accessed: Dec. 09, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com/books/about/Design_Recommendations_for_Intelligent_T.html?id=0suvDAAAQBAJ
- [40] K. R. Koedinger, A. T. Corbett, and C. Perfetti, “The Knowledge-Learning-Instruction Framework: Bridging the Science-Practice Chasm to Enhance Robust Student Learning,” *Cognitive Science*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 757–798, 2012, doi: 10.1111/j.1551-6709.2012.01245.x.
- [41] P. Brusilovsky and E. Millán, “User Models for Adaptive Hypermedia and Adaptive Educational Systems,” in *The Adaptive Web: Methods and Strategies of Web Personalization*, P. Brusilovsky, A. Kobsa, and W. Nejdl, Eds., Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2007, pp. 3–53. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-72079-9_1.
- [42] H. Athaya, R. D. A. Nadir, D. Indra Sensuse, K. Kautsarina, and R. R. Suryono, “Moodle Implementation for E-Learning: A Systematic Review,” in *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Sustainable Information Engineering and Technology*, in SIET '21. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Nov. 2021, pp. 106–112. doi: 10.1145/3479645.3479646.
- [43] B. Freidlin, W. Miao, and J. L. Gastwirth, “On the Use of the Shapiro-Wilk Test in Two-Stage Adaptive Inference for Paired Data from Moderate to Very Heavy Tailed Distributions,” *Biometrical J*, vol. 45, no. 7, pp. 887–900, Oct. 2003, doi: 10.1002/bimj.200390056.
- [44] N. M. Razali and Y. B. Wah, “Power comparisons of shapiro-wilk, kolmogorov-smirnov, lilliefors and anderson-darling tests,” *Journal of statistical modeling and analytics*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 21–33, 2011.

- [45] R. Dudley, "The shapiro-wilk test for normality." 2012. Accessed: Jun. 13, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://klein.mit.edu/~rmd/46512/shapiro.pdf>
- [46] H. Hsu and P. A. Lachenbruch, "Paired t Test," in *Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online*, 1st ed., R. S. Kenett, N. T. Longford, W. W. Piegorsch, and F. Ruggeri, Eds., Wiley, 2014. doi: 10.1002/9781118445112.stat05929.
- [47] K. Okoye and S. Hosseini, "Wilcoxon Statistics in R: Signed-Rank Test and Rank-Sum Test," in *R Programming*, Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2024, pp. 279–303. doi: 10.1007/978-981-97-3385-9_13.
- [48] M. Q. R. Pembury Smith and G. D. Ruxton, "Effective use of the McNemar test," *Behav Ecol Sociobiol*, vol. 74, no. 11, p. 133, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s00265-020-02916-y.
- [49] D. Koletsi and N. Pandis, "Matched analysis for paired binary data (McNemar test)," *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*, vol. 151, no. 1, pp. 222–223, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.ajodo.2016.11.005.
- [50] K. Taylor and D. Rohrer, "The effects of interleaved practice," *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 837–848, Sep. 2010, doi: 10.1002/acp.1598.
- [51] M. Erdt, A. Fernandez, and C. Rensing, "Evaluating Recommender Systems for Technology Enhanced Learning: A Quantitative Survey," *IEEE Trans. Learning Technol.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 326–344, Oct. 2015, doi: 10.1109/TLT.2015.2438867.