LIST OF FIGURES

1.1 Mindmap used in this thesis 3

2.1 (a) NDN Interest Packet Structure, and (b) NDN Data Packet Structure. 7
2.2 (a) NDN Interest Packet Flow, and (b) NDN Data Packet Flow. . . . 8

3.1 Network Elements of (a) Producer, (b) Router, (c) Consumer, and (d) Controller. 16
3.2 Flowchart of (a) NLSR Protocol, (b) Protocol Proposed by Kalafatidis, and (c) CARI Protocol. 19
3.3 Flowchart of (a) Route Discovery, (b) Route Calculation, and (c) Update Route. 20
3.4 Network Elements of NLSR Protocol. 25
3.5 Network Elements of Protocol Proposed by Kalafatidis. 26
3.6 Topology used in the first scenario. 27
3.7 Topology used in the second scenario (a). 28
3.8 Topology used in the second scenario (b). 28
3.9 Topology used in the third scenario. 29
3.10 Snippet code of ndn-traffic-generator client side. 30

4.1 Results Packet Loss and Routing Overhead of the first scenario. . . 32
4.2 Results RTT and Throughput of the first scenario. 33
4.3 Results Packet Loss and Routing Overhead of the second scenario (a). 34
4.4 Results RTT and Throughput of the second scenario (b). 34
4.5 Results Packet Loss and Routing Overhead of the second scenario (b). 35

4.6 Results RTT and Throughput of the second scenario (b). 35
4.7 Results Packet Loss and Routing Overhead of the third scenario. . . 37
4.8 Results RTT and Throughput of the third scenario. 37
4.9 Selected face of NLSR in the third scenario. 38
4.10 Selected face of Centralized Routing proposed by Ilmi, et al. in the third scenario. 38
4.11 Selected face by CARI in the third scenario. 38
4.12 CPU Usage by NLSR in the third scenario. 40
4.13 CPU Usage by Centralized Routing proposed by Assyifatunisa et al. in the third scenario. 41
4.14 CPU Usage by CARI in the third scenario. 41
4.15 Scale Effect on Cost Possibilities. 43