

LIST OF FIGURE

2.1	Soil Horizon	9
2.2	Process of Gravimetric Method	11
2.3	2-Dimensional Rectangular Microstrip Antenna	13
2.4	3-Dimensional Rectangular Microstrip Antenna	13
2.5	Covered Rectangular Microstrip Antenna	16
2.6	Dielectric Permittivity area in the Microstrip Antenna	19
3.1	Soil Water Content scheme and proposed method	22
3.2	Scheme of Designing and Simulating Antenna	24
3.3	Initial Design of Antenna (a) Front View, (c) Back View	26
3.4	Return loss result of the initial design antenna	27
3.5	L_g optimization result	28
3.6	W_g optimization result	29
3.7	L_p optimization result	30
3.8	W_p optimization result	30
3.9	Return loss result of the final design antenna	32
3.10	Comparison return loss result of the initial and final design of microstrip antenna	33
3.11	Return loss graph of the $\epsilon_{r2} = 2 - \epsilon_{r2} = 5$	34
3.12	Return loss graph of the $\epsilon_{r2} = 6 - \epsilon_{r2} = 10$	34
3.13	Antenna Sensitivity Result $\epsilon = 11 - \epsilon = 15$	35
3.14	Return loss graph of the various soil characteristic	36
3.15	Realization Antenna	38
3.16	Scheme of Extracting the mathematical formulation process	38
3.17	Experiment Setup : Microstrip Antenna Method (a)	40
3.18	Experiment Setup : Microstrip Antenna Method (b)	40
3.19	Experiment Setup : Gravimetric Method	41
3.20	Experimental Second Scenario	41
3.21	Experimental Second Scenario	41
3.22	First Scenario : First Soil Sample	42
3.23	First Scenario : Second Soil Sample	42
3.24	First Scenario : Third Soil Sample	43
3.25	The soil texture of first, second and third soil sample	43

3.26	First Scenario : Fourth and Fifth Soil Sample	44
3.27	The soil texture of fourth and fifth soil sample	44
3.28	Second Scenario : Lettuce Plantation Lembang, Jawa Barat	45
3.29	Second Scenario : Langsat Park, Kebayoran Baru, Jakarta Selatan	45
4.1	Resonant Frequency Result Based on Theoretical	49
4.2	Resonant Frequency Result Based on Simulation	51
4.3	Comparison Resonant Frequency Theoretical and Simulation Result	52
4.4	Dielectric Permittivity Result	55
4.5	Dielectric Permittivity Result	57
4.6	Dielectric Permittivity Result based on Proposed Mathematical Formulation	57
4.7	Dielectric Permittivity Result based on Theoretical Mathematical Formulation	58
4.8	Fabricated Antenna Result	59
4.9	Comparison simulation and measurement results	60
4.10	The Graph Result of Soil Sample - 1	61
4.11	The Graph Result of Soil Sample - 2	62
4.12	The Graph Result of Soil Sample - 3	62
4.13	The Graph Result of Soil Sample - 4	64
4.14	The Graph Result of Soil Sample - 5	64
4.15	The Graph Result of Soil Sample - 6	65
4.16	The Graph Result of Soil Sample - 7	65
4.17	Relation Dielectric Permittivity and Resonance Frequency Result	67
4.18	Comparison Experiment, Numerical and Theoretical Result	68
4.19	The Graph Result of Soil Sample in First Area	70
4.20	Soil Sample and Resonant Frequency Result in First Area	71
4.21	Soil Sample and Dielectric Permittivity in First Area	71
4.22	The Graph Result of Soil Sample in First Row in Second Area	73
4.23	The Graph Result of Soil Sample in Second Row in Second Area	74
4.24	Soil Sample and Resonant Frequency Result in Second Area	74
4.25	Soil Sample and Dielectric Permittivity in Second Area	75
4.26	Comparison SWC level from Gravimetric and Microstrip Antenna Method	77
A.1	L_g Optimization : 92.03 mm	
A.2	L_g Optimization : 87.03 mm	
A.3	L_g Optimization : 82.03 mm	

A.4	L_g Optimization : 77.03 mm	
A.5	L_g Optimization : 72.03 mm	
A.6	W_g Optimization : 116.59 mm	
A.7	W_g Optimization : 111.59 mm	
A.8	W_g Optimization : 106.59 mm	
A.9	W_g Optimization : 100.59 mm	
A.10	W_g Optimization : 95.59 mm	
A.11	L_p Optimization : 87.93 mm	
A.12	L_p Optimization : 80.93 mm	
A.13	L_p Optimization : 77.93 mm	
A.14	L_p Optimization : 74.93 mm	
A.15	L_p Optimization : 67.93 mm	
A.16	W_p Optimization : 118.99 mm	
A.17	W_p Optimization : 106.99 mm	
A.18	W_p Optimization : 96.99 mm	
A.19	W_p Optimization : 86.99 mm	
A.20	W_p Optimization : 74.99 mm	
B.1	Return loss graph of the $\epsilon_{r2} = 2$	
B.2	Return loss graph of the $\epsilon_{r2} = 3$	
B.3	Return loss graph of the $\epsilon_{r2} = 4$	
B.4	Return loss graph of the $\epsilon_{r2} = 5$	
B.5	Return loss graph of the $\epsilon_{r2} = 6$	
B.6	Return loss graph of the $\epsilon_{r2} = 7$	
B.7	Return loss graph of the $\epsilon_{r2} = 8$	
B.8	Return loss graph of the $\epsilon_{r2} = 9$	
B.9	Return loss graph of the $\epsilon_{r2} = 10$	
B.10	Return loss graph of the $\epsilon_{r2} = 11$	
B.11	Return loss graph of the $\epsilon_{r2} = 12$	
B.12	Return loss graph of the $\epsilon_{r2} = 13$	
B.13	Return loss graph of the $\epsilon_{r2} = 14$	
B.14	Return loss graph of the $\epsilon_{r2} = 15$	
B.15	Dry sandy soil	
B.16	Wet sandy soil	
B.17	Dry clay soil	
B.18	Saturated clay soil	
B.19	Dry clayey soil	
B.20	Wet clayey soil	

C.1	Experimental Antenna as Microwave Sensor
C.2	Experimental Antenna as Microwave Sensor
C.3	Experimental Antenna as Microwave Sensor
D.1	Soil Sample - 1 : Wet condition
D.2	Soil Sample - 1 : Dry condition
D.3	Soil Sample - 2 : Wet condition
D.4	Soil Sample - 2 : Dry condition
D.5	Soil Sample - 3 : Wet condition
D.6	Soil Sample - 3 : Dry condition
D.7	Soil Sample - 4 : Wet condition
D.8	Soil Sample - 5 : Wet condition
E.1	Soil Sample - 1 : 0 - 10 cm
E.2	Soil Sample - 2 : 30 - 40 cm
E.3	Soil Sample - 3 : 60 - 70 cm
E.4	Soil Sample - 4 : 90 - 100 cm
E.5	Soil Sample - 5 : 120 - 130 cm
E.6	Soil Sample - 6 : 140 - 150 cm