TABLE OF CONTENT

MEASURING THE INFLUENCE OF SOCIAL AWARENESS CAMPAIGN ON ACHIEVING SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL 11.6 WASTE MANAGEMENT: A QUANTITATIVE STUDY ON FOOD WASTE AT JAKARTA CULINARY CENTER i
APPROVAL PAGE i
STATEMENT PAGE ii
PREFACE iii
ABSTRAK iv
ABSTRACT v
TABLE OF CONTENT vi
LIST OF FIGURES ix
LIST OF TABLES xi
CHAPTER 1 PRELIMINARY 13
1.1. Research Objects Overview 13
1.1.1. Jakarta Culinary Center 13
1.2. Research Background 16
1.3. Problem Statements 29
1.4. Research Purposes 31
1.5. Research Benefits 32
1.5.1. Practical Benefits 32
1.5.2. Theoretrical Benefits 32
1.6. Writing Structure 33
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW 34
2.1. Sustainable Development Goals 34
2.2. Waste Management 35
2.2.1. Food Waste Management 35
2.2.2. Knowledge of Sustainable Food Waste Practices 36

2.3. Theory of Planned Behavior 38
2.3.1. Attitude towards Food Waste Reduction 38
2.3.2. Concrete Behavior in Food Waste Management 39
2.4. Social Marketing Theory 40
2.5. Social Awareness Campaign 41
2.6. Literature Review 41
2.7. Research Framework 51
2.8. Hypothesis 52
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY 53
3.1 Types of Research 53
3.2 Variable Operationalization 54
3.2.1 Variables and Sub Variables 54
3.3 Research Stages 57
3.4 Sample and Population 59
3.5 Data Collection and Data Sources 60
3.6 Validity and Reliability Test 61
3.6.1 Validity Test 61
3.6.2 Reliability Test 62
3.7 Data Analysis Technique 63
3.7.1 Descriptive Analysis 64
3.7.2 Classical Assumption Test 65
1. Normality Test 65
2. Heteroscedasticity Test 66
3.7.3 Simple Linear Regression Analysis 66
3.7.4 Individual Significance Test (T Test) 67
3.7.5 Coefficient of Determination (R^2) 68
CHAPTER IV RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS 69
4.1. Characteristics of Respondents 69
4.1.1. Gender 69
4.1.2. Age 71
4.1.3. Last Education Level 72
4.1.4. Position 74

4.2.1. Descriptive Analysis 75
4.2.2. Classical Assumption Test 83
1. Normality Test 83
2. Heteroscedasticity Test 85
3. Simple Linear Regression Analysis 87
4. Individual Significance Test (T Test) 91
5. Coefficient of Determination (R2) 93
4.2. Discussion of Research Results 95
1. Effect of Social Awareness Campaign 95
2. Effect of Knowledge of Sustainable Food Waste Management Practices 96
3. Effect of Attitude towards Food Waste Reduction 97
4. Effect of Concrete Behavior in Food Waste Management 97
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION 98
5.1. Conclusions 98
5.2. Suggestions 99
5.2.1. Practical Suggestion 99
5.2.2. Theoretical Suggestion 100
BIBLIOGRAPHY 102
APPENDIX 111

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. 1 Jakarta Culinary Center Logo 13
Figure 1. 2 Jakarta Culinary Center Location 14
Figure 1. 3 Jakarta Culinary Center Official Website 14
Figure 1. 4 Total Forecasted Population in Southeast Asia in 2035 17
Figure 1. 5 Volume of waste generated by key sectors in Indonesia 2019-2030 18
Figure 1. 6 Pre-campaign Indicator: Awareness of the environmental impact of food waste 21
Figure 1. 7 Pre-campaign Indicator: Understanding of how reducing food waste contributes to Sustainable Development Goal 11.6 (Waste Management) 22
Figure 1. 8 Pre-campaign Indicator: Knowledge of practical ways to reduce food waste 22
Figure 1. 9 Pre-campaign Indicator: Belief in the importance of reducing food waste for the environment 23
Figure 1. 10 Pre-campaign Indicator: Willingness to make an effort to reduce food waste in daily life 24
Figure 1. 11 Pre-campaign Indicator: Belief that reducing food waste can positively impact community life 24
Figure 1. 12 Pre-campaign Indicator: Regularly composting food scraps from the kitchen 25
Figure 1. 13 Pre-campaign Indicator: Often repurposing leftovers in creative ways to reduce food waste 25
Figure 1. 14 Pre-campaign Indicator: Consciously avoiding buying excess groceries to prevent food waste 26
Figure 1. 15 Pre-campaign Indicator: Belief in the effectiveness of the upcoming social awareness campaign on food waste in increasing awareness and reducing food waste 27
Figure 1. 16 Food Waste 101 Campaign TikTok Video (@foodwaste101) 28

Figure 2. 1 Research Framework 51

Figure 3. 1 Continuum Line 65

Figure 4. 1 Gender of Respondent Pie Chart 70

Figure 4. 2 Age of Respondent Pie Chart..... 72
Figure 4. 3 Last Education Level of Respondent Pie Chart..... 73
Figure 4. 4 Descriptive Statistical Test Results 76
Figure 4. 5 Continuum Line Social Awareness Campaign..... 77
Figure 4. 6 Continuum Line Knowledge of Sustainable Food Waste Management Practices..... 79
Figure 4. 7 Continuum Line Attitude towards Food Waste Reduction 81
Figure 4. 8 Continuum Line Concrete Behavior in Food Waste 82

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2. 1 Literature Review 42

Table 3. 1 Validity Test Result 62
Table 3. 2 Reliability Test Result 63

Table 4. 1 Gender of Respondent 69
Table 4. 2 Age of Respondent 71
Table 4. 3 Last Education Level of Respondent 72
Table 4. 4 Position of Respondent 74
Table 4. 5 Respondents Responses to the Exposure Level of Social Awareness Campaign Variable 77
Table 4. 6 Respondents Responses to the Knowledge of Sustainable Food Waste Management Practices 78
Table 4. 7 Respondent Responses to the Attitude towards Food Waste Reduction 80
Table 4. 8 Respondent Responses to the Concrete Behavior in Food Waste Management 81
Table 4. 9 Recapitulation of Responses to Each Variable 83
Table 4. 10 Normality Test 83
Table 4. 11 Heteroscedasticity Test – Y1 85
Table 4. 12 Heteroscedasticity Test – Y2 85
Table 4. 13 Heteroscedasticity Test – Y3 86
Table 4. 14 Heteroscedasticity Value 86
Table 4. 15 Simple Linear Regression Analysis on Knowledge Sustainable Food Waste Management Practices (Y1) 87
Table 4. 16 Simple Linear Regression Analysis on Attitude towards Food Waste Reduction Variable (Y2) 88
Table 4. 17 Simple Linear Regression Analysis on Concrete Behavior in Food Waste Management (Y3) 89
Table 4. 18 T-test results on the Knowledge of Sustainable Food Waste Management Practices variable 91
Table 4. 19 T-test results on the Attitude towards Food Waste Reduction variable 92
Table 4. 21 T-test results on the Concrete Behavior in Food Waste Management variable 93
Table 4. 22 Determination Coefficient Test on Knowledge of Sustainable Food Waste Management variable 93
Table 4. 23 Determination Coefficient Test on Attitude towards Food Waste Reduction variable 94

Table 4. 24 Determination Coefficient on Concrete Behavior in Food Waste Management variable 94