## LIST OF FIGURES

| 2.1  | The illustration of semi-supervised medical image segmentation                        | 11 |
|------|---|----|
| 2.2  | Example of brain visualization using multiple image modalities                        | 12 |
| 2.3  | The illustration of cross-modality medical image segmentation                         | 13 |
| 2.4  | The comparison of two predicted segmentation masks from their metric results.         | 15 |
| 3.1  | Main flowchart of the proposed method   | 16 |
| 3.2  | Brief Comparison between previous method (TD-SS-Seg) and proposed method              |    |
|      | (Cross Modality TD-SS-Seg) in terms of their input data, segmentation                 |    |
|      | model and training phases   | 17 |
| 3.3  | The illustration of the data preparation process                                      | 18 |
| 3.4  | The original NIFTI Images opened with Mango application                               | 19 |
| 3.5  | The information extracted from 3D NIFTI Images  | 19 |
| 3.6  | Intensity histogram before and after being applied with outlier handling              |    |
|      | (2nd and 98th percentile range)   | 20 |
| 3.7  | Intensity histogram before and after normalization                                    | 21 |
| 3.8  | Sample image before and after rescaling process                                       | 22 |
| 3.9  | Sample image before and after resizing process  | 22 |
| 3.10 | Bar plot intensity comparison between pre-resized image and resize image              | 23 |
| 3.11 | The illustration of concatenation process   | 23 |
| 3.12 | The illustration of batching process  | 24 |
| 3.13 | The process of task driven semi supervised augmentation                               | 25 |
| 3.14 | The architecture of UNet Segmentation Model   | 28 |
| 3.15 | The architecture of Generator Model   | 28 |
| 3.16 | The architecture of Discriminator Model   | 29 |
| 3.17 | The process of first phase training   | 29 |
| 3.18 | The process of second phase training  | 30 |
| 3.19 | The process of third phase training   | 31 |
| 3.20 | The process of alignment model training   | 32 |
| 3.21 | The result of alignment model. (a) Real CT image, (b) Real MRI image,                 |    |
|      | (c) Fake CT image, (d) Fake MRI image   | 33 |
| 3.22 | The illustration of ensemble technique for evaluating two models result               | 37 |
| 3.23 | The process of data testing process   | 38 |
| 3.24 | Boxplot of Image Modality Pixels Distribution   | 39 |
| 4.1  | Visualization of qualitative measurement  | 46 |
| A.1  | Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT001 $.$          | 59 |
| A.2  | Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image $\mathrm{CT}002$ . | 59 |

60 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT003. A.3 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT004. 60 61 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT005. A.5Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT006. 61 A.7Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT007. 62 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT008. 62 A.8 63 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT009. A.10 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT010. 63 A.11 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT011. 64 A.12 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT012. 64 A.13 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT013 . 65 A.14 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT014. 65 A.15 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT015. 66 A.16 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT016. 66 A.17 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT017. 67 A.18 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT018. 67 A.19 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT019. 68 A.20 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image CT020. 68 A.21 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI001 69 A.22 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI002 69 A.23 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI003 70 A.24 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI004 70 A.25 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI005 71 A.26 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI006 71 72 A.27 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI007 A.28 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI008 72 A.29 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI009 73 A.30 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI010 73 A.31 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI011 74 A.32 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI012 74 75 A.33 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI013 A.34 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI014 75 A.35 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI015 76 A.36 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI016 76 A.37 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI017 77 A.38 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI018 77 A.39 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI019 78 A.40 Sample images and unique label distribution in each slice of Image MRI020 78