

Daftar Pustaka

- [1] Samsiah Bidin and Azidah Abu Ziden. Adoption and application of mobile learning in the education industry. *Procedia-social and behavioral sciences*, 90:720–729, 2013.
- [2] Fezile Ozdamli and Nadire Cavus. Basic elements and characteristics of mobile learning. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 28:937–942,
- [3] Olga Viberg and Åke Grönlund. Cross-cultural analysis of users' attitudes toward the use of mobile devices in second and foreign language learning in higher education: A case from sweden and china. *Computers Education*, 69:169–180, 2013
- [4] Anshari, M., Almunawar, M.N., Shahrill, M., Wicaksono, D.K., Huda, M., 2017. Smartphones usage in the classrooms: Learning aid or interference? *Education and Information technologies* 22, 3063–3079.
- [5] Wallace, S., Clark, M., & White, J. (2012). 'It's on my iPhone': attitudes to the use of mobile computing devices in medical education, a mixed-methods study. *BMJ Open*, 2(4), e001099.
- [6] Zhao, J., Yuping, W., Maideen, I., Moe, Z.K., Nasirudeen, A., 2018. The relationship between smartphone use and academic performance in a sample of tertiary students in singapore: Across-sectional study. *Journal of Educational Technology* 14, 28–35.
- [7] Norries, C., Hossain, A., & Soloway, E. (2011). Using smartphones as essential tools for learning: A call to place schools on the right side of the 21st century. *Educational Technology*, 51(3), 18-25.
- [8] Ng, S.F., Hassan, N.S.I.C., Nor, N.H.M., Malek, N.A.A., 2017. The relationship between smartphone use and academic performance: A case of students in a malaysian tertiary institution. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology* 5, 58–70.
- [9] W Lewis Johnson, Jeff W Rickel, James C Lester, et al. Animated pedagogical agents: Face-to-face interaction in interactive learning environments. *International Journal of Artificial intelligence in education*, 11(1):47–78, 2000
- [10] Yanghee Kim, Amy L Baylor, PALS Group, et al. Pedagogical agents as learning companions: The role of agent competency and type of interaction. *Educational technology research and development*, 54(3):223–243, 2006.
- [11] Roxana Moreno, Richard E Mayer, Hiller A Spires, and James C Lester. The case for social agency in computer-based teaching: Do students learn more deeply when they interact with animated pedagogical agents? *Cognition and instruction*, 19(2):177–213, 2001
- [12] Veletsianos, G., & Russell, G. S. (2013). Pedagogical agents. In J. M. Spector, M. D. Merrill, J. Elen, & M. J. Bishop (Eds.), *Handbook of Research on Educational Communication and Technology* (pp. 759–769). New York, NY: Springer Science + Business. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-3185-5_61
- [13] Bonnie Eisenman. *Learning react native: Building native mobile apps with JavaScript*. ” O'Reilly Media, Inc.”, 2015
- [14] John Brooke. *Sus: a retrospective*. *Journal of usability studies*, 8(2):29–40, 2013.
- [15] Belland, B. R. 2014. Scaffolding: Definition, current debates, and future directions. In: *Handbook of Research on Educational Communications and Technology*, 505-518.
- [16] Martha, A. S. D., & Santoso, H. B. (2019). The design and impact of the pedagogical agent: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Educators Online*, 16(1), n1.
- [17] Danielsson, W., 2016. *React Native application development* 4 10.
- [18] Bangor, A., Kortum, P. T., & Miller, J. T. (2009). Determining what individual SUS scores mean: Adding an adjective rating scale. *Journal of Usability Studies*, 4(3), 114-123.
- [19] Jacqueline N Stetson and Thomas S Tullis. A comparison of questionnaires for assessing website usability. *UPA Presentation*, 2004.
- [20] Sidiq, U., Choiri, M., Mujahidin, A., 2019. Metode penelitian kualitatif di bidang pendidikan. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* 53.