## List of Tables

3.1	Data structure to reconstruct the path	. 19	9
4.1	The number of step recognize based on path a scenario testing.	. 28	3
4.2	The number of step recognize based on path b scenario testing.	28	3
4.3	The number of step recognize based on path c scenario testing.	. 28	3
4.4	The number of step recognize based on path d scenario testing.	29	9
4.5	Level of accuracy (%) step recognized based on path a scenario		
4.6	testing	. 29	9
	testing	. 29	9
4.7	Level of accuracy (%) step recognized based on path c scenario		
	testing	. 30	)
4.8	Level of accuracy (%) step recognized based on path d scenario		
	testing	. 30	0
4.9	The number of step with the best value of threshold is 0.3	. 3	1
4.10	The number of step with the constant optimum value of thresh-		
	old is 0.1	. 32	2
4.11	The number of step with the best value of threshold is 0.3 in		
	multi-floor	. 35	2
4.12	Level of accuracy (%) of step recognized for all device position-		
	ing using the best value of the threshold is 0.3	. 32	2
4.13	Level of accuracy (%) of step recognized for all device position-		
	ing using the constant value of the threshold is 0.1	. 32	2
4.14	Level of accuracy (%) of step recognized for all device position-		
	ing using the best value of the threshold is 0.3 in multi-floor	. 33	3
4.15	The number of step recognized from the system with the angle		
	of device position is 0° and the comparison of the best threshold		
	in single floor	. 3'	7
4.16	The level of accuracy (%) step recognized from the system the	-	
_	comparison of the best threshold and with the angle of device		
	position is 0° in single floor.	. 3'	7

4.17	The number of step recognized from the system with the angle	
	of device position is $0^{\circ}$ and the comparison of the best threshold	
	in multi-floor	38
4.18	The level of accuracy (%) step recognized from the system the	
	comparison of the best threshold and with the angle of device	
	position is $0^{\circ}$ in multi-floor	38