## List of Figures

1.1	Google maps navigation using GPS	1
1.2	Timeline Feature on Google Maps	1
1.3	Blocked Signal GPS[10]	2
1.4	Trajectory pattern in F multi-floor building at Telkom University	3
2.1	Coordinate system (relative to a device) that's used by the Sensor API [25]	10
2.2	Graph of accelerometer reading. Figure (a) shows when the device is pushed on its left side toward the right. Figure (b) is is pushed on its up side toward the down. Figure (c) is pushed	
	on its front side toward the back	10
2.3	The barometer sensor reading in 2nd floor at F building Telkom	
	University	11
2.4	The ambient geomagnetic field to the Y axis	12
2.5	0 [ ]	13
2.6	Algorithm to reconstruct trajectory [18]	$^{L4}$
2.7	Illustration of human movement from A point to C point 1	15
2.8	Trigonometric formula in quadrant 1 [23]	15
3.1	System Architecture Review	19
3.2	Flow of the System Architecture	19
3.3	Illustration of human movement from A point to C point 2	22
3.4	Trigonometric formula in quadrant 1 [23]	23
4.1	Illustration of 4 different paths on single floor. The difference of each path is in the walking direction. Figure (a) shows the walking direction to the east and Figure (e) shows the number of footstep. Figure (b) shows the walking direction to the north and Figure (f) shows the number of footstep. Figure (c) shows the walking direction to the diagonal and Figure (g) shows the number of footstep. Figure (d) shows the walking direction combination of three previous paths and Figure (h) shows the	
	number of footstep	25

4.2	Illustration of path on multi floor. Figure (a) shows the walking direction from the first floor to the second floor and Figure (b)	
	shows the number of footstep detected	25
4.3	Example of holding the device with the angle position is $90^{\circ}$ .	26
4.4	Graph of Accelerometer Reading. Figure (a) shows that when	20
7.7	human move to other position then the value of accelerometer	
	reading is change, while the human is stop or stay the value of	
	- •	
	accelerometer reading is constant. Figure (b) shows the value	
	of accelerometer reading when walking activity is on single floor	26
4 5	and up-stair	26
4.5	Reference to the holding device using protractor	27
4.6	Testing scenario for range walking. Figure (a), (b), and (c)	
	shows the scenario with actual step is 10 step and spent 20	
	second for finish the scenario. The difference is each figure used	
	the different times scenario. The scenario are figure (a) is 2	
	second for walk and 2 second for stop, figure (b) is 5 second for	
	walk and 5 second for stop, while figure (c) is 10 second for walk	~-
	and 10 second for stop	27
4.7	The level average of accuracy based on path a scenario testing	30
4.8	The level average of accuracy based on path b scenario testing	30
4.9	The level average of accuracy based on path c scenario testing	31
	The level average of accuracy based on path d scenario testing	31
4.11	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.1 and device position is 0°. Figure (a) shows	
	the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for path b.	
	Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows the	
	result for path d	31
4.12	The average level of accuracy based on the optimum value of	
	the threshold is 0.3 in single floor	33
4.13	The average level of accuracy based on the constant optimum	
	accuracy value of the threshold is 0.1 in single floor	33
4.14	The average level of accuracy based on the optimum value of	
	the threshold is 0.3 in multi-floor	33
4.15	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for path a	
	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0°. Figure (b) with device position 30°. Figure (c) with device	
	position 45°. Figure (d) device position is 90°	34
4.16	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for path b	
	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0°. Figure (b) with device position 30°. Figure (c) with device	
	position 45°. Figure (d) device position is 90°	34

4.17	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for path c	
	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0°. Figure (b) with device position 30°. Figure (c) with device	
	position 45°. Figure (d) device position is 90°	34
4.18	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for path d	
	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0°. Figure (b) with device position 30°. Figure (c) with device	
	position 45°. Figure (d) device position is 90°	35
4.19	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for path a	
1.10	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0°. Figure (b) with device position 30°. Figure (c) with device	
	position 45°. Figure (d) device position is 90°	35
4.20	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for path b	50
4.20	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0°. Figure (b) with device position 30°. Figure (c) with device	
	- ' '	35
4.91	position 45°. Figure (d) device position is 90°	30
4.21	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for path c	
	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0°. Figure (b) with device position 30°. Figure (c) with device	20
4.00	position 45°. Figure (d) device position is 90°	36
4.22	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for path d	
	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0°. Figure (b) with device position 30°. Figure (c) with device	
	position 45°. Figure (d) device position is 90°	36
4.23	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for multi floor	
	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0°. Figure (b) with device position 30°. Figure (c) with device	
	position 45°. Figure (d) device position is 90°	36
4.24	Graph Visualization Level of Accuracy Range Walking Test Sce-	
	nario based on the best threshold and device position is $0^{\circ}$	37
4.25	The level accuracy based on the optimum value of the range	
	walking in multi floor	38
4.26	The level accuracy based on the optimum value of the range	
	walking in multi floor	38
4.27	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for range walk-	
	ing path a testing scenario in 2 second. Figure (a) the result of	
	0.1 threshold is more sensitive then figure (b) with 0.3 threshold,	
	when detecting human activity (walking or stop)	39
4.28	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for range walk-	
	ing path a testing scenario in 5 second. Figure (a) the result	
	of 0.1 threshold, figure (b) is the result of 0.3 threshold when	
	detecting human activity (walking or stop)	39

4.29	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for range walking path a testing scenario in 10 second. Figure (a) the result of 0.1 threshold, figure (b) is the result of 0.3 threshold when	
4.30	detecting human activity (walking or stop)	39
4.31	5s. Figure (c) shows the scenario of 10s	40
5.1	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value of the threshold is 0.2 and device position is 0°. Figure (a) shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows the	4.0
5.2	result for path d	46
5.3	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value of the threshold is 0.4 and device position is 0°. Figure (a) shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows the result for path d	46
5.4	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value of the threshold is 0.5 and device position is 0°. Figure (a) shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows the	
5.5	result for path d	47
	the result for path d	47

5.6	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.2 and device position is 30°. Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	47
5.7	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.3 and device position is 30°. Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	48
5.8	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.4 and device position is 30°. Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	48
5.9	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.5 and device position is 30°. Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	48
5.10	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.1 and device position is 45°. Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	48
5.11	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.2 and device position is 45°. Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	49
5.12	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.3 and device position is 45°. Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	49
5.13	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.4 and device position is 30°. Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	49

5.14	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.5 and device position is 45°. Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	49
5.15	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.1 and device position is 90°. Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	50
5.16	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.2 and device position is 90°. Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	50
5.17	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.3 and device position is 90°. Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	50
5.18	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.4 and device position is 90°. Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	<b>F</b> 0
T 10	the result for path d	50
5.19	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.5 and device position is 90°. Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	<u> </u>
	the result for path d	51